



CRM-M-18439-2026

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

279-A

**CRM-M-18439-2026
Date of decision: 24.08.2026**

RASNEET KAUR**.... PETITIONER(S)****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER****...RESPONDENT(S)****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI**

Present: Ms. Kritika Sheokand, Advocate,
Mr. Naveen Sheokand, Advocate and
Mr. Vinay Gaur, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Kuljinder Singh Dhindsa, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.2.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of an FIR No.52 dated 02.04.2024 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 406 and 420 of the IPC, 1860 (Sections 465, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC added later on) at Police Station City Nawanshahar, District SBS Nagar along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of a compromise arrived at between the petitioners and respondent No.2.

2. Vide order dated 04.05.2026, this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise dated 01.04.2026 (Annexure P-2).

3. The Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was to submit a report in this regard giving certain details as enumerated in the said order.

4. Pursuant to the order dated 04.05.2026 passed by this Court, the parties have appeared before the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Shaheed Bhagat Singh Nagar and as per the report dated 21.05.2026 submitted to this



Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

5. A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complaint coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***“Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543”***.

6. In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Shaheed Bhagat Singh Nagar accompanied by statements of both the parties, the FIR No.52 dated 02.04.2024 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 406 and 420 of the IPC, 1860 (Sections 465, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC added later on) at Police Station City Nawanshahar, District SBS Nagar along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

7. Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

24.08.2026

Kusum

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No